

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05364 071944Z

70

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 IO-14 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NEA-10

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 CU-04 OMB-01 ACDA-19 EB-11 SS-20 NSC-10 AEC-11

DRC-01 /172 W

----- 058605

R 071710Z NOV 73

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2557

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

USMISSION GENEVA

USCINCEUR

USNMR SHAPE

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 5364

E.O. 11652: GDS, 12-31-79

TAGS: PFOR, PARM

SUBJ: CSCE - SWEDISH PROPOSAL ON MILITARY BUDGETS

GENEVA FOR USDEL CSCE

VIENNA FOR USDEL MBFR

REF: STATE 218442

BEING SUMMARY: SEVERAL DELEGATIONS REACTED FAVORABLY AT NOVEMBER 6 POLADS MEETING TO SWEDISH PROPOSAL ON MILITARY BUDGETS, LARGELY ON GROUNDS THAT IT POSED FEW PROBLEMS FOR WEST AND CAUSED SOVIETS CONSIDERABLE PAIN. FRG REP INDICATED THAT BONN HAD SERIOUS DOUBTS ABOUT SWEDISH PROPOSAL. IN ABSENCE  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 05364 071944Z

INSTRUCTIONS (REFTEL WAS RECEIVED AFTER MEETING), U.S. REP SUPPORTED FRG POSITION ON PERSONAL BASIS. BELGIAN REP REITERATED PROPOSAL THAT ECONADS AND/OR OTHER NATO SPECIALIZED COMMITTEES GIVE SWEDISH PROPOSAL CLOSE EXAMINATION BUT HE

GAINED LITTLE SUPPORT. ON TACTICS, MOST DELEGATIONS APPEAR  
PREPARED ALLOW SOVIETS KILL PROPOSAL. END SUMMARY.

1. OPENING DISCUSSION, NETHERLANDS REP SAID THE HAGUE  
FOUND SWEDISH PROPOSAL "LOGICAL AND COHERENT" AND DESERVING  
OF CLOSE STUDY. IN DUTCH VIEW, ALLIES SHOULD TAKE FAVORABLE  
STANCE TOWARD PROPOSAL IN VIEW OF ITS ORIGIN, I.E. GENERALLY  
WELL-DISPOSED NEUTRAL, PARTICULARLY SINCE SWEDISH PROPOSAL WORKED  
TO ADVANTAGE OF WEST. NETHERLANDS REP ADDED THAT THE HAGUE DID  
NOT EXPECT MUCH TO COME OUT OF SWEDISH PROPOSAL IN ANY EVENT.  
UK REP SAID LONDON GENERALLY AGREED WITH DUTCH VIEW. ON TACTICS,  
HE SAID ALLIES SHOULD LEAVE IT TO NEUTRAL TO SUPPORT SWEDISH  
PROPOSAL, WITH ALLIES TAKING LINE THAT THEY ALREADY FOLLOW  
PROCEDURE PROPOSED BY SWEDES AND THAT CONFIDENCE WOULD BE EN-  
HANCED IF OTHER DID SAME. BELGIAN REP SUPPORTED DUTCH AND UK  
POSITIONS, AS DID ITALIAN. NORWEGIAN REP SAID HE WAS WITHOUT  
INSTRUCTIONS BUT ADDED THAT OSLO WAS SYMPATHETIC TO SWEDISH  
PROPOSAL. TURKISH REP SUPPORTED SWEDISH PROPOSAL ON PERSONAL  
BASIS AND CANADIAN REP WAS WITHOUT INSTRUCTIONS. FRENCH REP  
DID NOT ENTER DISCUSSION.

2. FRG REP SAID BONN FOUND SWEDISH PROPOSAL "THEORETICALLY  
INTERESTING BUT HIGHLY COMPLEX." THERE WAS NO CHANCE WARSAW  
PACT STATES WOULD ACCEPT IT, IN FRG VIEW, AND IT HAD DISADVANTAGE  
OF OPENING NUMBER OF TRICKY QUESTIONS SUCH AS EXACTLY  
WHICH EXPENDITURES SHOULD BE INCLUDED UNDER GENERAL RUBRIC  
OF "DEFENSE." THIS ELEMENT OF AMBIGUITY, FRG REP ADDED,  
COULD GIVE SOVIETS BASIS ON WHICH TO ATTACK WESTERN COUNTRIES.  
FRG REP AGREED WITH UK TACTICAL APPROACH. U.S. REP SUPPORTED  
FRG REP ON PERSONAL BASIS REGARDING SUBSTANCE OF SWEDISH PROPOSAL.

3. BELGIAN REP AGAIN SUGGESTED THAT ECONADS AND/OR MILITARY  
BUDGET COMMITTEE GIVE SWEDISH PROPOSAL IN-DEPTH STUDY, BUT  
HE GAINED LITTLE SUPPORT.

4. WE WILL USE REFTTEL AT NOVEMBER 13 MEETING. MCAULIFFE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 02 APR 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 07 NOV 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** mcintyresh  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973NATO05364  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS, 12-31-79  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19731165/abqcedec.tel  
**Line Count:** 93  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** STATE 218442  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** mcintyresh  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 12 JUL 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <12-Jul-2001 by boyleja>; APPROVED <06-Aug-2001 by mcintyresh>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CSCE - SWEDISH PROPOSAL ON MILITARY BUDGETS  
**TAGS:** PFOR, PARM  
**To:** STATE  
SECDEF INFO BONN  
LONDON  
PARIS  
ROME  
VIENNA  
GENEVA

USCINCEUR  
USNMR SHAPE

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005